

Key ADAP Highlights

State/Territory	Financial Eligibility as % of FPL	Total FY 2006 Budget*	State Contribution	State \$ Contribution as % of Total Budget	June 2006 Clients Served	June 2006 Drug Expenditures	June 2006 Prescriptions Filled	June 2006 Per Capita Drug Expenditures	Waiting Lists and Other Cost-Containment Measures, as of March 2007
Alabama	250%	\$15,567,729	\$5,991,044	39%	920	\$1,032,443	3,190	\$1,122.22	Capped enrollment
Alaska	300%	\$534,924	\$0	0%	49	\$54,413	173	\$1,110.47	Waiting list
Arizona	300%	\$10,623,252	\$1,000,000	9%	856	\$884,249	4,330	\$1,033.00	
Arkansas	300%	\$3,712,458	\$0	0%	198	\$164,015	552	\$828.36	
California	400%	\$301,320,001	\$107,740,000	36%	17,306	\$19,889,704	71,816	\$1,149.30	
Colorado	300%	\$11,128,584	\$4,366,482	39%	852	\$718,502	2,328	\$843.31	
Connecticut	400%	\$17,264,871	\$606,678	4%	1,339	\$1,598,359	6,356	\$1,193.70	
Delaware	—	\$3,486,482	—	—	—	—	—	—	
District of Columbia	400%	\$15,188,675	\$0	0%	563	\$481,576	1,970	\$855.38	
Florida**	350%	\$101,358,137	\$10,500,000	10%	8,267	\$6,276,117	19,552	\$759.18	Lowered income eligibility
Georgia	300%	\$41,991,541	\$11,805,339	28%	3,473	\$3,427,413	11,712	\$986.87	
Guam	—	\$97,000	—	—	—	—	—	—	
Hawaii	400%	\$2,655,868	\$440,535	17%	144	\$160,584	632	\$1,115.17	
Idaho	200%	\$1,944,657	\$779,300	40%	63	\$86,202	169	\$1,368.29	
Illinois	400%	\$39,900,193	\$12,100,000	30%	3,097	\$3,350,554	10,443	\$1,081.87	
Indiana	300%	\$7,515,481	\$0	0%	1,000	\$100,872	273	\$100.87	Capped enrollment
Iowa	200%	\$2,367,158	\$822,606	35%	315	\$143,067	617	\$454.18	
Kansas	300%	\$4,072,787	\$400,000	10%	294	\$334,223	735	\$1,136.81	
Kentucky	300%	\$5,422,637	\$250,000	5%	574	\$293,460	1,922	\$511.26	
Louisiana	200%	\$17,193,806	\$0	0%	1,391	\$1,284,521	3,723	\$923.45	
Maine	400%	\$945,187	\$60,000	6%	93	\$25,170	308	\$270.65	
Maryland	500%	\$43,377,292	\$0	0%	2,558	\$2,877,431	9,045	\$1,124.88	
Massachusetts	510%	\$21,053,293	\$2,802,358	13%	2,665	\$530,415	10,890	\$199.03	
Michigan	450%	\$20,131,032	\$0	0%	1,526	\$1,639,116	7,290	\$1,074.13	Implemented various formulary management strategies
Minnesota	300%	\$4,488,877	\$0	0%	565	\$193,206	1,333	\$341.96	
Mississippi	400%	\$7,977,861	\$750,000	9%	666	\$618,932	2,432	\$929.33	Lowered medical eligibility
Missouri	300%	\$16,270,913	\$4,390,224	27%	1,032	\$1,252,643	4,509	\$1,213.80	
Montana	330%	\$556,375	\$54,979	10%	52	\$45,683	152	\$878.53	Waiting list
Nebraska	200%	\$2,162,425	\$900,000	42%	246	\$123,504	393	\$502.05	
Nevada	400%	\$7,529,342	\$1,813,437	24%	416	\$450,724	1,300	\$1,083.47	
New Hampshire	300%	\$2,734,691	\$180,000	7%	109	\$116,138	469	\$1,065.49	
New Jersey	500%	\$70,759,043	\$9,000,000	13%	4,015	\$5,878,562	23,633	\$1,464.15	
New Mexico	—	\$2,361,780	—	—	—	—	—	—	
New York	449%	\$246,888,472	\$41,295,245	17%	12,467	\$18,407,214	55,427	\$1,476.48	
North Carolina***	125%	\$28,697,785	\$12,120,856	42%	2,093	\$1,936,635	6,503	\$925.29	

(continued on next page)

Key ADAP Highlights *(continued)*

State	Financial Eligibility as % of FPL	Total FY 2006 Budget*	State Contribution	State \$ Contribution as % of Total Budget	June 2006 Clients Served	June 2006 Drug Expenditures	June 2006 Prescriptions Filled	June 2006 Per Capita Drug Expend.	Waiting Lists and Other Cost-Containment Measures, as of March 2007
North Dakota	400%	\$196,548	\$0	0%	25	\$22,411	77	\$896.45	
Ohio	500%	\$15,422,837	\$3,515,299	23%	1,606	\$1,115,040	6,195	\$694.30	
Oklahoma	200%	\$5,612,861	\$1,287,340	23%	583	\$399,865	1,725	\$685.87	
Oregon	200%	\$7,879,340	\$952,451	12%	1,312	\$113,812	3,318	\$86.75	
Pennsylvania	350%	\$51,749,948	\$14,822,515	29%	3,296	\$4,022,076	14,590	\$1,220.29	
Puerto Rico	200%	\$30,616,270	\$1,728,396	6%	3,576	\$3,124,797	9,863	\$873.82	Waiting list
Rhode Island	400%	\$4,407,383	\$2,280,000	52%	338	\$267,166	1,118	\$790.43	Lowered income eligibility
South Carolina	300%	\$16,080,570	\$800,000	5%	1,798	\$1,351,515	5,706	\$751.68	Reduced formulary; waiting list
South Dakota	300%	\$397,980	\$0	0%	70	\$43,089	154	\$615.56	
Tennessee	300%	\$32,226,995	\$8,000,000	25%	2,150	\$475,660	2,242	\$221.24	
Texas	200%	\$93,513,947	\$34,622,983	37%	7,455	\$6,384,476	19,773	\$856.40	
Utah	400%	\$2,978,514	\$180,000	6%	330	\$178,596	609	\$541.20	
Vermont	200%	\$708,997	\$0	0%	101	\$64,399	306	\$637.61	
Virgin Islands (U.S.)	400%	\$888,813	\$154,000	17%	57	\$39,168	143	\$687.16	Client cost-sharing
Virginia	300%	\$19,500,323	\$2,612,200	13%	1,477	\$1,841,255	4,665	\$1,246.62	
Washington	300%	\$15,002,481	\$2,761,238	18%	1,940	\$858,797	8,426	\$442.68	
West Virginia	250%	\$2,235,881	\$188,000	8%	118	\$101,874	316	\$863.34	
Wisconsin	300%	\$6,842,435	\$464,000	7%	647	\$486,795	1,395	\$752.39	
Wyoming	200%	\$759,764	\$367,500	48%	38	\$30,718	106	\$808.37	
Total		\$1,386,302,496	\$304,905,005	22%	96,121	\$95,297,158	344,904	\$991.43	

*Total FY 2006 Budget includes Federal, state, and drug rebate dollars and does not include other cost recovery funds.

**Florida changed its income eligibility level from 350% to 300% FPL in March 2007.

***North Carolina changed its income eligibility level from net family income of 125% to gross family income of 200% on November 1, 2006.

Note: 51 ADAPs reported data. Delaware, Guam, and New Mexico did not report data and are not included. American Samoa, the Marshall Islands, and the Northern Mariana Islands are not included because HRSA determined they were not eligible for ADAP funding in FY 2006.

Source: Kaiser Family Foundation (Kaiser) and National Alliance of State and Territorial AIDS Directors (NASTAD), *National ADAP Monitoring Project Annual Report*, April 2007.